# PILOTAGE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Ref.: HQ/COM 928/29 (19)

# **Minutes of the Pilotage Advisory Committee Meeting**

Date: 22 May 2007 (Tuesday)

Place : Conference Room A, 24/F, Harbour Building

Time: 10:30 a.m.

# **Present**

Chairman: Mr. Francis LIU Deputy Director of Marine

Member: Capt. David WATKINS Master Mariner

Mr. TSANG Man-ching Master Mariner

Ms. Gloria CHOY Representing Containers Terminal Operators

(on behalf of Capt. Rado Antolovic)

Mr. Edward CHIU Representing Dry Bulk Cargo Operators

Mr. Peter YIM Representing HK Liner Shipping Association

Capt. CHAN Chung-wing Representing HK Pilots Association
Capt. CHEN Yu-chi Representing HK Pilots Association

Capt. LAM Wing-shing Representing HK Shipowners Association

(on behalf of Capt. CHAN Lok-ching)

Mr. CHENG Siu-lun Representing Oil Terminal Operators

Capt. WU Ka-shun Representing Tug Operators

(on behalf of Capt. Alan LOYND)

Mr. SIN Tak-cheung AD/PC, Marine Department

Mr. BUTT Lee-yuen GM/VTS (Ag.), Marine Department

Mr. TONG Ho-lok S(N)Exam, Marine Department

Secretary: Mr. John LEUNG ADS/C&G, Marine Department

### In Attendance

Mr. Terence SIT HK General Chamber of Commerce

Mr. CHOR Yee-on HK Pilots Association (HKPA)

Mr. WANG Chi-cheong - ditto -Mr. YEUNG Wai-tin - ditto -

Mr. Andrew NG MO/Pilotage, Marine Department

# **Absent with Apologies**

Capt. Joseph FONSEKA

Mr. TO Wing-sing

Mr. LI Pok-yan

Master Mariner

Representing Break Bulk Cargo Operators

Representing Dockyard Industry

# **OPENING REMARKS**

1. The Chairman welcomed all present. He extended particular welcome to -

- (a) Capt. LAM Wing-shing, who was attending the meeting on behalf of Capt. CHAN Lok-ching representing the HK Shipowners Association;
- (b) Ms. Gloria CHOY, who was attending the meeting on behalf of Capt. Rado Antolovic representing the containers terminal operators;
- (c) Capt. WU Ka-shun, who was attending the meeting on behalf of Capt. Alan LOYND representing the tug operators;

and the following members who were attending the meeting for the first time –

- (a) Capt. David WATKINS
- (b) Mr. TSANG Man-ching
- (c) Capt. CHAN Chung-wing
- 2. <u>The Chairman</u> told the meeting that the following members were not able to attend the meeting and had sent apologies for absence
  - (a) Capt. Joseph FONSEKA
  - (b) Mr. TO Wing-sing
  - (c) Mr. LI Pok-yan

#### **AGENDA ITEMS**

# I. Confirmation of Minutes of Last Meeting

3. The minutes of last meeting were confirmed without any amendment.

#### II. Interviewing of Apprentice Pilot

4. Mr. CHING Kwan-ming briefed members of his background and was asked some questions about his experience and the reason why he would like to join the pilots profession. Members were satisfied with his qualifications and experience. The Chairman confirmed that Mr. CHING had already passed the necessary medical examinations, and with unanimous agreement from members he would recommend him to the Pilotage Authority for registration as apprentice pilot.

(<u>Post-meeting notes</u>: With the approval from the Pilotage Authority, Mr. CHING registered as apprentice pilot on 23.5.2007.)

#### **III. New Discussion Item**

# PAC Paper No. 1/2007 - "Proposed Amendments to Berthing Guidelines"

- 5. The Chairman informed members that the amendments proposed in this paper had been circulated within the PAC Working Group on 7.5.2007. The majority of the Working Group members endorsed the amendments while comments had been received from three members.
- 6. In response to the comments, <u>Mr. Andrew NG</u> said that he agreed that in terms of maneuverability twin-screw tugs were better than single-screw tugs. However, grade II tugs were used for berthing/unberthing of all vessels up to 130m and as there were only two twin-screw grade II tugs available for the purpose of the

berthing guidelines, it would seem not practical for them to serve all vessels up to 130m. Furthermore, from the experience of the pilots, the performance of single-screw grade II tugs with sufficient power was found satisfactory. The two new tug service providers had been providing tug service for over 20 years, and therefore had good experience in this trade. One of the service providers, Kong Luen Tug was providing tug service to oil tankers berthing/unberthing at Sha Chau and Tsing Yi Oil Terminals. The inclusion of new tug service providers in the berthing guidelines was not a tender exercise, but served to provide information of tug services available in the market. The terms of reference for employment and operational audit of all the tug companies were commercial decisions which should be considered by users rather than PAC.

- 7. <u>Capt. WU Ka-shun</u> disagreed that there was shortage of grade II tugs as grade I tugs were often used as grade II. In the light of the comments made in paragraph 4. of the Paper, he supported further consultation be carried out.
- 8. The Chairman asked the pilots whether there had been any complaint or problem with the single-screw tugs. Capt. CHEN Yu-chi's response was in the negative. He said that at the last PAC meeting held on 14 December 2006 members endorsed the definition of grade II tugs as "local licensed with horsepower at least 1,248 HP (total)", which meant that both single- and twin-screw tugs were included.
- 9. <u>Capt. WU Ka-shun</u> expressed concern on the safety of using single-screw tugs, in the case of engine failure especially under conditions of strong tidal stream or restricted waters such as in the vicinity of oil terminals at Sha Chau and Tsing Yi. He also asked why twin-screw tugs were taken out from the guidelines. <u>Capt. CHEN Yu-chi</u> responded that the current practice was that twin-screw tugs were used for all vessels less than 130m at all terminals, and re-iterated that the word 'total' included twin-screw tugs.

- 10. <u>Capt. WU Ka-shun</u> raised further concern on the tug masters' experience of the new tug service providers. He opined that there was a long and good relationship between pilots and tug masters and worried that if the tug masters of the new service providers were not adequately trained, there might be communication problems between the pilots and the tug masters. <u>Capt. CHEN Yu-chi</u> replied that he had never received such complaints, and if a pilot found that any tug master had not been properly trained to perform his duties, the pilot would stop using the service of the tug master.
- 11. After some more discussion, members agreed that the guidelines should be revised to clarify the types of tugs considered suitable for the service. The revised guidelines would be circulated to PAC Working Group members for comments.

# **IV.** Any Other Business

### **Declaration of Interests**

12. The Chairman said that at present members were required to register their interests on appointment and annually thereafter. A member was also required to disclose his interest if he perceived a potential conflict of interest in a matter placed before the committee. The guidelines on the system of declaration of interests by PAC members were last issued in 1994, and the Government had updated the guidelines recently. The Secretary briefed members on the updated guidelines and said that in general, the types of interests required for disclosure had remained unchanged. The updated guidelines provided further explanation on the system and clarification on situations where disclosure of interests was required. A new PAC paper on the updated guidelines was being prepared and would be circulated to members as soon as available.

# Pilot Detention Charge at Tap Shek Kok

13. Mr. Peter YIM raised the issue that only 15 minutes of free time was granted for transfer of pilots between Hong Kong and Shekou/Chiwan, and a pilot detention charge of HK\$1,200 per hour would be imposed on the carrier/liners after 15 minutes. There were a large number of vessels exceeding the 15 minute free time when Hong Kong and Mainland pilots were transferred. Mr. YIM would like to request the HKPA to extend the free time to 30 minutes. A brief discussion concluded that the Liner Shipping Association and the HKPA should communicate directly to sort out the problem.

# V. Date of Next Meeting

14. There being no other business, the meeting ended at 11:45 a.m. The date of the next meeting would be announced in due course.